

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,144		01/14/2004	Chien-Hua Huang	N1085-00213	3215
8933	7590	03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS, LLP				LAM, TUAN THIEU	
IP DEPARTMENT ONE LIBERTY PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396				2816	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/758,144	HUANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan T. Lam	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2004.						
·-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) 10-12 is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,13,15,17,18 and 20-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>3.5-9,14,16 and 19</u> is/are objected to.	50.5 <b>u</b> .						
_							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	•	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/2004.	_	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/2004. 6) U Other:  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
	ion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 03162005					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, 13, 15, 17-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fruhauf et al. (USP 5,291,139).

Figure 1 shows a detection circuit for indicating a blown state or un-blown state of a fuse under detection, comprising a fuse detection circuit part having a fuse under detection (fus1), the fuse detection circuit part producing a fuse detection voltage corresponding to a detection current in the fuse under detection, a reference circuit part for generating a reference voltage (ref2), the reference circuit part having a reference fuse (fus2) substantially identical to the fuse under detection in its un-blown state, and the reference voltage being between a fuse detection voltage corresponding to an un-blown state of the fuse under detection and a fuse detection voltage corresponding of a blown stage of the fuse under detection (vref2 is between vref1(unblown) and 0 volt (blown), thereby distinguishing the blown state from the un-blown state, a comparator (COMP) as called for in claims 1, 13, 18 and 20.

Regarding claims 2 and 15, figure 1 shows respective transistors (Tf1, Tf2) receiving a fuse detection enable signal (B).

Regarding claims 4, 17 and 22, the reference fuse and the fuse under detection are similar and are inherently having substantially lay out on a circuit board.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,144

Art Unit: 2816

Regarding claim 21, since the reference fuse and the fuse under detection are similar thus they are manufactured with the same process steps.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 3, 5-9, 14, 16 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 10-12 are presently allowed.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In this regard, applicant's cited prior art has been carefully considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/758,144

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Tuan T. Lam **Primary Examiner**

Page 4

Art Unit 2816

03/16/2005